

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION
Office of Administrative Law Judges

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., *Complainant*

v.

COSCO SHIPPING LINES CO., LTD., *Respondent*.

DOCKET NO. 24-16

Served: April 8, 2024

ORDER OF: Linda S. Harris CROVELLA, *Administrative Law Judge*.

ORDER ON CONSENT MOTION TO EXTEND

On March 28, 2024, the Commission issued a Notice of Filing of Complaint and Assignment (“Notice”) for this proceeding. On April 5, 2024, Respondent filed a motion to extend time to file the answer to the complaint to May 9, 2024. The motion indicates that Complainant consents to the request.

The motion asserts as good cause for the extension that one of Respondent’s partners will be out of the country from April 16 to April 29, 2024, on “a long-planned personal trip.”

Pursuant to 46 C.F.R. § 502.102, motions for enlargement of time “may be granted upon a showing of good cause” if “received at least seven (7) days before the scheduled filing date.” Here, the answer would be due on April 22, 2024, so the request is within the allowable period. In addition, Respondent sets forth good cause to extend the time to file an answer.

Accordingly, the motion is **GRANTED**, and Respondents must file their answer no later than May 9, 2024. The parties are reminded that motions must state “the statutory or principal authority relied upon...” 46 C.F.R. § 502.69(e). In addition, pursuant to the Initial Order paragraph 16, parties “are encouraged to sequentially number filings (for example, Joint Status Report 1 then Joint Status Report 2). Similarly, with a motion such as a request to extend or enlarge time which could be sought again in a proceeding, sequential numbering is encouraged.



Linda S. Harris Crovella
Administrative Law Judge